

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,964	11/01/2004	Akiko Yoshida	258184US0PCT	8038
22850 7590 12/05/2005			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIN	VAK, MCCLELLANI	CINTINS, IVARS C		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	M					
ant(s)	<i>y</i> •					
DA ET AL.						
t						
ndence address						
HIRTY (30) DAYS,						
date of this communication. C. § 133). Se any						
n as to the merits is 213.						
r. 1.85(a). See 37 CFR 1.121(d). or form PTO-152.						
F).						
 National Stage						
)						

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/510,964	YOSHIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/1/2004.	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-132)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Act	tion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20051130					

Application/Control Number: 10/510,964

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Yamanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,951,609). The reference discloses an ion adsorption module of the type recited (see col. 3, lines 65-66; col. 4, lines 26-27; and col. 5, lines 14-15 and 37-53) located downstream of another ion adsorption module (i.e. 22) of the type recited, as required by claims 1-8.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by JP 2002-306976 or WO 02/083771 A1. Each of the references discloses an ion adsorption module of the type recited (see the abstract of each document).

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome the above rejections, because a translation of said foreign priority papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0189005 or Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0122117. Each of the references discloses an ion adsorption module of the type recited (see ¶s 0039-0041 of Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0189005; and ¶ 0010 of Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0122117).

Application/Control Number: 10/510,964

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-306976, WO 02/083771 A1, Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0189005 or Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0122117. Each of the references discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the additional ion exchange resin pre-treatment. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to pre-treat the water in any of the above noted reference systems with a conventional particulate ion exchange resin, in order to ensure that the final product water is adequately purified.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is 571-272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at 571-272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/510,964

Art Unit: 1724

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins

Page 4

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins November 30, 2005